

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,389	08/19/2003	Jonathan D. Zook	08303.0042-06	6131
22852 75	90 08/09/2006		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			TRUONG, DUC	
LLP 901 NEW YOR	K AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 20001-4413		1711	
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/-
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/644,389	ZOOK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Duc Truong	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communicated Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communal. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statule. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relication. tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON I, by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>22 May 2006</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition fo	r allowance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-27,31-36 and 41-43</u> is/are	pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 22-27,31-36 and 41-43 is/are	rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	ı) accepted or b) objected to □	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is required if the drawing	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority do			
2. Certified copies of the priority do		·	
3. Copies of the certified copies of	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action to		rossived	
See the attached detailed Office action i	or a list of the certified copies flot	eceiveu.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The request to correct inventorship filed on 5/22/2006 is acknowledged in that Hakam Singh and Ahmed Sharaby are deleted and the inventive entity of the claims is:

Jonathan D. Zook, Susan E. DeMoss, David W. Jordan and Chandra B. Rao.

The instant application and the references have two common inventors: Jonathan D. Zook and David W. Jordan.

Applicant's arguments filed 5/22/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The response and the Declaration filed under CFR 1.132 submitted by Applicant do not overcome the rejection made by Examiner in the last Office action .

Claims 22-27, 31-34,41 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.102(f) because the applicant did not invent the claimed subject matter. (6,525,168 is used as reference).

Claims 35-36 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(f) because the applicant did not invent the claimed subject matter (6,723,827 is used as reference).

The rejection is maintained for the reasons as stated in the last Office action and for the following reasons:

Note that the Declaration filed under 37 CFR 1.132 has been submitted by Chandra B. Rao in that the instant application has two different inventor names: Susan E. Demoss and Chandra B. Rao, compared with those form the prior art. However, said arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive since they are not commensurate in scope with the claims in that it is based on the steps of the process to form the product and it is not in the claims. Further, in the absence of two inventor

Art Unit: 1711

names, as stated above, the references do disclose the claimed subject matter and there is nothing new between the presence (the instant application) and absence (the references) of these two inventor names. The Declarations do not disclose the portion. in which Susan E. Demoss and Chandra B. Rao, have contributed to the claimed subject matter, compared to those from the prior art.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-1081. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Art Unit: 1711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DUCTRUONG
PRIMARY EXAMINER